J.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ AUG 1 3 2014

<u>INDICTMENT</u>

§§ 3238 and 3551 et seq.)

BROOKLYN OFFICE

THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P

SLT:TJS F. #2014R01367

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- against -

JUAN RAMON MATTA-WALDURRAGA, also known as "El Negro,"

Defendant.

MATSUMOTO, J. POHORELSKY, M.J.

(T. 21, U.S.C., §§ 853(a), 853(p), 959(c),

960(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 963; T. 18, U.S.C.,

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

INTERNATIONAL COCAINE DISTRIBUTION CONSPIRACY

1. In or about and between January 2005 and December 2010, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the extraterritorial jurisdiction of the United States, the defendant JUAN RAMON MATTA-WALDURRAGA, also known as "El Negro," together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to distribute a substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, intending and knowing that such substance would be unlawfully imported into the United States from a place outside thereof, contrary to Title 21, United States Code, Sections 959(a) and 960(a)(3). The amount of cocaine involved in the conspiracy attributable to the defendant as a result of his own

conduct, and the conduct of other conspirators reasonably foreseeable to him, was five kilograms or more of a substance containing cocaine.

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 963, 959(c) and 960(b)(1)(B)(ii); Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3238 and 3551 et seq.)

CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 2. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendant that, upon his conviction of the offense charged in this Indictment, the government will seek forfeiture in accordance with Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a), which requires any person convicted of such offense to forfeit any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such offense, and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of such offense.
- 3. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
 - (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property described in this forfeiture allegation.

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 853(a) and 853(p))

A TRUE BILL

Mea Mac Mullan-Tul Rasc FOREPERSON

LORETTA E. LYNCH

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

PURSUANT TO 28 C.F.R. 0.136

F. #2014R01367 FORM DBD-34 JUN. 85

Š.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN District of NEW YORK

CRIMINAL DIVISION

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

JUAN RAMON MATTA-WALDURRAGA,

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

(T. 21, U.S.C., §§ 853(a), 853(p), 959(c), 960(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 963; T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 3238 and 3551 et seq..)

1 me All Mac Millan - Tu Base

Filed in open court this

day,

of ----- A.D. 20 ----

 \overline{Clerk}

Bail, \$______

Tyler J. Smith, Assistant U.S. Attorney (718) 254-6186

IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

AUG 1 3 2014 *

BROOKLYN OFFICE

INFORMATION SHEET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	INFORMATION SHEET LYN OFFICE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Title of Case: United States v. Juan Ramon Matta-Waldurraga
1.	Title of Case: United States v. Juan Ramon Matta-Waldurraga
2.	Related Magistrate Docket Number(s): <u>CR 14 - 00442</u>
3.4.	Arrest Date: N/A Nature of offense(s): Felony Misdemeanor MATSUMOTO, J. OHORELSKY, M.J.
5.	Related Cases - Title and Docket No(s). (Pursuant to Rule 50.3.2 of the Local E.D.N.Y. Division of Business Rules):
6.	Projected Length of Trial: Less than 6 weeks ⊠ More than 6 weeks □
7.	County in which crime was allegedly committed: <u>Brooklyn</u> (Pursuant to Rule 50.1(d) of the Local E.D.N.Y. Division of Business Rules)
8.	Was any aspect of the investigation, inquiry and prosecution giving rise to the case pending or initiated before March 10, 2012.¹
9.	Has this indictment/information been ordered sealed? ✓ Yes ✓ No
10.	Have arrest warrants been ordered? ⊠ Yes □ No
11.	Is there a capital count included in the indictment? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	By: LORETTA E. LYNCH UNITED STATES ATTORNEY By: Tyler J. Smith Assistant U.S. Attorney (718) 254-6186

Judge Brodie will not accept cases that were initiated before March 10, 2012.